

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/057,212 01/24/2002		Nico N. Raczek	01/016 NUT	1719	
7590 12/04/2003			EXAMINER		
PROPAT, L.L.C. 2912 CROSBY ROAD CHARLOTTE, NC 28211-2815			SAYALA, CHHAYA D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1761		
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	olication No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary			057,212	RACZEK, NICO N.	
			miner	Art Unit	
		C. :	SAYALA	1761	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears	on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In  nmunication. (30) days, a reply within  statutory period wilf application of the course.	n no event, however, may a reply be t the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on	•		
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.		•		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn fro			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected	e: a) accepted ection to the drawiring the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeyance. Se required if the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clair  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action of the application of the foreign lands of the translation of the foreign lands of the first selection.	y documents have y documents have s of the priority do onal Bureau (PC on for a list of the for domestic prio ed in the first sen inguage provisior for domestic prio	e been received. e been received in Applicate been received in Applicate been received. T Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies not receive rity under 35 U.S.C. § 1196 tence of the specification of the specification received application has been received and application has been received.	tion No  yed in this National Stage  ed.  (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet.  ceived.  D and/or 121 since a specific	
Attachmen	t(s)				
2) 💹 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		<del></del>	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Parentheses in claims are indefinite.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by HU 59290.

The patent teaches the same amount of sorbic acid for fodder for pigs. The growth stabilizing property is inherent.

4. Claim 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by over Bolduan et al (Arch. Tierz # 40, pages 95-100, 1997)

Art Unit: 1761

The abstract teaches feeding piglets acidic acid as an additive in basic feed.

5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ueno et al. (US Patent 4444796)

Feedstuffs with ascorbic acid in an amount of 0.1 - 0.7%. The sorbic acid is growth stabilizing. See the claims, col. 2, lines 55-60.

6. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Freitag et al. (Feed Magazine, 2/99, pages 49-57)

The reference teaches feeding pigs sorbic acid was advantageous. See page 54.

7. Claims 1-2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roth et al. (J. Animal and Feed Sci., pp. 25-33, 1998).

Sorbic acid is shown to be beneficial when fed to pigs. See abstract, and page 27.

8. Claims 1-2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kirchgessner et al. (J. Animal Physio. & Animal Nutrition, pp. 235-242, 1995)

Sorbic acid is shown to be beneficial when fed to pigs. Note the amounts shown.

Art Unit: 1761

9. Claims 1-2 and 7 are rejected as being anticipated by Dilworth et al. (Poultry Sci., pp. 1445-1450, 1979)

Dilworth et al. teach that 0.04% sorbic acid in poultry feed provided benefits outlined in the abstract.

10. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 98/20749.

The invention is to using sorbic acid in the amounts shown at claim 9, in feeds of pigs and other livestock shown at page 6, lines 33-35.

11. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 3704175.

The abstract teaches the use of sorbic acid in the same amounts as a preservative (growth-stabilizing) in herbivorous and omnivorous animal feeds, which would inherently include all the animals claimed.

12. Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 275958 (which is an equivalent of DE 3701567—see PTO form 1449).

The disclosure teaches consuming feedstuff by adding 0.5 – 5.0 wt percent sorbic acid for hens, cattle and pigs.

Art Unit: 1761

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 1 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 2403203.

The DE 2403203 teaches sorbic acid in animal feed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that even though the patent does not teach all the various animals named in instant claims 4-8, the disclosure would have included them, because it was known in the art to feed such animals spent waste of brewer's grains.

14. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO98/20749.

The patent is as discussed above. The patent teaches various animals except rabbit rearing. Given the variety of animals shown at page 6, lines 30-35, including goats and chicken, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include sorbic acid in the feed of rabbits also as a nutritive preparation.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. SAYALA at Group 1761, telephone number (703) 308-3035.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

C. SAYALA Primary Examiner

Group 1700.